

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/081,122 | 02/22/2002 | Bryan P. Riddiford | DP-305565 (7500/87) | 8542 | |
| 7590 01/06/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| SCOTT A. MCBAIN, ESQ. | | | WILLIAMS, THOMAS J | | |
| DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES INC. | | 114 400 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| I EGAL STAF | F MAII CODE: 480-4 | AKIUNII | PAPER NUMBER | | |

DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES INC. LEGAL STAFF, MAIL CODE: 480-414-420 P.O. BOX 5052 TROY, MI 48007-5052

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| L. | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--|------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | Appl | ication No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | | 81,122 | RIDDIFORD ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Exan | niner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | nas J. Williams | 3683 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commu | nication appears o | n the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2003. | | | | | | | | |
| · — | · | 2b)⊠ This action | | | | | | |
| /— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | | 4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: . | | | | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/081,122

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 16, 2003 has been entered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 6-13 and 15-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-13 and 15-17 of U.S. Patent No. US 6,655,756 to Riddiford et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the step of determining a chamber pressure in the actuator apply chamber (as recited in the instant application) broadly encapsulates the step of estimating a chamber pressure in the actuator apply chamber as recited in US 6,655,756.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-5, 14 and 18-20 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,122

Art Unit: 3683

5.

Page 3

with regards to claims 1 and 14, the prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious a

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

force generating apparatus and method for modifying an electrical force signal of the force

generating apparatus, wherein the signal is modified to limit the rate at which fluid pressure in

the apply chamber is reduced during a fast release mode to a predetermined rate low enough to

preclude a change in modulus of the fluid during fast mode release; with regards to claim 5, the

prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious a force generating apparatus, wherein an

electrical signal is modified during fast mode release, and wherein a solenoid is located between

an apply chamber of the force generating apparatus and a force applying element

Conclusion

Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6.

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1346.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Lavinder, can be reached at (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

THOMASWILLIAMS PATENT EXAMINER

Thomas Will

TJW

Thomas J. Williams

Examiner

AU 3683

AN 3683

December 22, 2003

12-22-03